

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,455 12/03/2004		Michel Puech	Q84452	2876	
23373 7	590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			OLSEN, ALLAN W		
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1763		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1/			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	TW.			
Office Action Summary		10/516,45	5	PUECH, MICHEL				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Allan Olser		1763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addres	S			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATI nt, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS frication to become ABANDO	ON. a timely filed om the mailing date of this communities NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	December 20	<u>)04</u> .					
	,,,,	his action is no						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qua	<i>₃yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
,—	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
•—	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
اساره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	u, 01 01000001111	,44					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> i				•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn				.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			cation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			eived in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Bur			•				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	ried copies not rece	elved.				
	m4/a)							
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ					
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>May 3, 2005</u> .	/08)	6) Other:		_,			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,455

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 7 each recite the phrase, "such as". This renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,790,374 issued to Ho et al. (hereinafter, Ho).

Ho teaches ramping up the power level in a plasma reactor (column 2, lines 44-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,455

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho.

Ho teaches ramping up the power level in a plasma reactor (column 2, lines 44-45).

Ho does not teach using a controller that coordinates the inlet of gases and the plasma source power supply.

It would have been obvious to use a controller that coordinates the inlet of gases and the plasma source power supply because it is considered obvious to provide automation¹.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

¹ In re Venner 120 USPQ 192 (CCPA 1958); In re Rundell 9 USPQ 220 (CCPA 1931)

Application/Control Number: 10/516,455

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan Olsen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763